SINGLE BENCH
3

(1)

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. No. 1694 Of 2005.

PETITIONER :- Ravindra Kumar Dhruv(Netam), Son of Shiv Prasad Dhruv, aged about 36 years, Resident of Village Arjuni, Post Kareha, Tahsil Nagari, District Dhamtari (C.G.),

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS :-

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through the Secretary, Tribal, Schedule caste, Backward class and Minority Development Department, Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (Chhattisgarh),
2. District Coordinator, Tribal Welfare Department, Dhamtari, District Dhamtari (Chhattisgarh).

P.R. No. 1694/05
Presented by Shri Rakesh Basnia
dated 15-6-05

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

41

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.1624 **सन्** 2005 **200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

2-5-2005

Mr. H. B. Agrawal, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Sangeeta Mishra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sudhir Agrawal, P.L. for the State/respondents.

Heard both the counsel.

The case of the petitioner is that his father namely Shiv Prasad died in harness on 23-7-2000 while working as Teacher in the Government Primary School, Post Karaiha, Tehsil Nagari, District Dhamtari. Death Certificate is Annexure-P/1. After the death of his father, the petitioner applied for compassionate appointment before the Block Education Officer, Tribal Block, District: Dhamtari on 14-11-2000, copy of the same is Annexure P-2. The same was considered by the Tribal Commissioner, and accepted by the Additional Director. The Additional Director issued a letter for appointment of the petitioner as Shiksha Karmi Grade-III in District: Dhamtari, against the vacant post. Even after the lapse of 3½ years, the case of the petitioner is not being considered.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the respondents be directed to consider the case of the petitioner and pass appropriate order to which learned Panel Lawyer has no objection.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

'2

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
Barve	Having heard learned counsel for the parties, having regard to the facts and circumstances, and also having regard to the limited request made by learned counsel for the petitioner, which seems to be fair and reasonable, respondent No.2 is directed to consider the case of the petitioner for appointment on compassionate ground, and pass appropriate order within three months from today, in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid the petition stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**	

(पीछे देखिये)